F. No. 3/110/2012-PP GOVERNMENT OF INDIA MINISTRY OF MINORITY AFFAIRS

MINUTES OF THE 64th MEETING OF EMPOWERED COMMITTEE UNDER MULTI-SECTORAL DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMME HELD ON 30th JANUARY, 2013 UNDER THE CHAIRPERSONSHIP OF SECRETARY, MINISTRY OF MINORITY AFFAIRS.

The 64th Meeting of the Empowered Committee for MsDP to consider and approve the revised district plans in minority concentration districts of Washim (Maharashtra) and East Kameng, West Kameng, Tirap, Tawang, Papumpare, Changlang & Lower Subhansiri (Arunachal Pradesh) was held on 30th January, 2013. The representatives of the Ministry of Drinking Water and Sanitation and Ministry of Labour & Employment attended the meeting. The officials from State Government attended the meeting through video conferencing. A list of officials present in the meeting is annexed.

- 2. Progress on website: The progress of implementation of MsDP is reported on quarterly basis to the Delivery Monitoring Unit (DMU) in PMO (Prime Minister's Office) and the status of implementation of various projects in terms of funds released by the Ministry of Minority Affairs and utilized by the State/UT; number of works sanctioned, completed and works in progress is regularly monitored. These details and photographs of works are placed in the Ministry's website for transparency. State Governments/UT Administrations are required to review the implementation on quarterly basis; ensure that Central funds are released to the districts within one month of sanction; State share (wherever applicable) released along with the Central funds; ensure that the executing agencies start the construction works at the earliest, and complete the construction works within the scheduled period. A computer software application called MIS-MsDP has been made operational in July, 2011 and the States/UT have been advised to ensure that data is entered by all concerned so that Quarterly Progress Report (QPR), utilization certificate and various progress reports is generated through the software.
- 3. Adhering to guidelines and eliminating duplication: States/UTs should ensure that the proposals sent have the approval of the State Level Committee of MsDP / mission director of CSS concerned in order to ensure that the proposal, in terms of specification, norms, standards etc., is in accordance with the guidelines of the scheme concerned and that duplication has been



ruled out. The responsibility for eliminating duplication of work and avoiding double countin of a scheme under two funding sources vested with both the district authority and the State Government. Accounts under MsDP should be maintained separately and Central Ministry concerned informed of assets created in respect of CSS topped up under MsDP.

- 4. Centrally Sponsored Scheme (CSS) guidelines: MsDP provides that there would be no change in guidelines of any existing Centrally Sponsored Scheme (CSS) under implementation in such districts for which this programme would provide additional funds. In case schemes for individual benefits are taken up under the programme, there shall be no divergence from existing norms for selection of beneficiaries from the list of BPL families in the district, so that benefits from the additional funds flow to all BPL families and not selectively. However, the provision under Indira Awas Yojana (IAY) guidelines for making separate allocation for Scheduled Castes (SC) or Scheduled Tribes (ST) will not be followed under MsDP. The entire number of units sanctioned under MsDP would be given to BPL households, from minority communities as well as other communities, in order of the serial number in the approved wait list without making separate allocation for Scheduled Castes (SC) or Scheduled Tribes (ST).
- 5. <u>Timely release of funds:</u> The sanction letters of the Ministry stipulate that funds should be released to the district/implementing agency within a month's time, but the States/UT have been generally taking much time in releasing funds to the district/implementing agency. State shares, wherever applicable, are not released along with the Central funds by some States. Prompt release of funds was advised to ensure that works are started at the earliest.
- 6. <u>Utilisation Certificate:</u> For release of second installment, utilization certificate (UC) is required. If the UC is furnished within a period of one year after release by the Ministry, 60% UC would be required for releasing the next installment. However, if utilization is made beyond the period of a year, 100% utilization would be necessary. The UCs would need to be accompanied by QPR showing physical progress, photographs as proof of the work in progress and the phasing of funds for the release sought.
- 7. <u>Sample testing and quality control:</u> Quality control was to be ensured through regular and frequent field visits and by testing samples of the construction work for which funds would be sanctioned by the Ministry on receipt of request from the State concerned. All the States/UTs



were advised to carry out field visits, quality control tests and review all works under MsDP to ensure that the shortcomings do not take place in their State/UT.

- 8. <u>Inclusion of Members of Parliament in State and district level committees:</u> Members of Parliament (MP) and Member of Legislative Assembly (MLAs) have been included in the State and district level committees for implementation of the Prime Minister's New 15 Point Programme for the Welfare of Minorities which also serves as the committee for MsDP. MLAs are also to be nominated on the Committees by the State Government. It should be ensured that they are invited to attend the meetings of the district and State level committees.
- 9. **Display boards:** Para 19 of the scheme of MsDP provides for a 'display board' which should have— Name of the project/scheme printed followed by "Multi-sectoral Development Programme (MsDP), Ministry of Minority Affairs, Govt. of India." For projects with longer construction period, in addition to above, the name of implementing agency, date of sanction of the project, likely date of completion and estimated cost of the project should be printed on the display boards. States/UT should ensure this and put up a permanent display on completion of each project.

General conditions applicable to projects approved by the Empowered Committee

10. For approvals given by the Empowered Committee, including in-principle approvals, the Principal Secretary/Secretary of State's/UTs and the District Collectors/representatives were advised to note that the following conditions would apply to all projects under MsDP. State Government/UT administration concerned should ensure that the following have been complied with:-

Approval of the State department concerned/Mission Director for Centrally Sponsored Scheme (CSS) concerned have covered the following -

- (a) Need for having the proposal sanctioned under MsDP.
- (b) <u>Duplication has been eliminated</u>.
- (c) Proposal is as per norms, specifications, layout design, funding norms and cost norms of the CSS guidelines concerned.
- (d) <u>Separate accounts for schemes under MsDP will be maintained</u> and details sent to the Central Ministry concerned for maintaining proper record of assets and avoiding double counting and duplication.



- (e) Estimates have been prepared as per Schedule of Rates (SOR) of the Stree Government and have been approved by a competent engineering department of the State Govt.
- (b) Staff is available for functioning unit or will be provided for new unit.
- (c) Recurring expenditure would be provided by the State Government.
- (d) Land is available and/or will be made available by the State Government in villages/locations having the highest proportion (percentage) of minority population.
- Review meetings of Oversight committee in State, and State and district level committees: The scheme of MsDP envisages quarterly review meetings by the State and district level committees for implementation of the Prime Minister's New 15 Point Programme for the Welfare of Minorities which also serves as the review committees for MsDP. The State level committee also acts as the Oversight Committee for MsDP. Quarterly review meetings for State level committee/State Oversight Committee and district level Committee should be held and copy of the meeting notice should be sent to the Ministry to enable a representative to attend State Level meetings as envisaged under MsDP. It should be ensured that Members of Parliament nominated in such Committees are invited to attend the meetings.
- 12. <u>Photographs:</u> Photographs of completed works and works in progress indicating type of asset, name of location/village and date should be placed in the MIS-MsDP web page and officials from the State and districts were impressed upon the need to have photographs, in digital format sent by email to Smt. Geeta Mishra, Under Secretary in the Ministry geeta.mishra@nic.in The photographs are required for release of second installment
- 13. Secretary (MA) Ministry of Minority Affairs emphasized that the benefits accrued by the implementation of MsDP should go to the Minority Communities properly. Therefore, not only location of the assets in the areas having substantial minority population is important but it is also equally important to see that the assets created are actually imparting benefits to the minorities. He requested the state government to propose the locations accordingly.

a. Muhn

14. District plans and the proposal contained therein considered by the Empowered Committee and their recommendations:-

(A). Maharashtra

(i). Washim:

The Govt. Maharshtra has submitted the revised proposal for Washim district which was considered in 62nd EC meeting. The details of the proposals are as follows:

The project of construction of 5 Boys hostels was approved in 62nd EC meeting for a total cost of Rs.775.00 lakh as per details given below:

SI.No.	Name of schemes	Sharing pattern	Unit	Unit cost	Central share	State share	Total cost	50% of the total central share is being released as
_	Administrative approvals					Rs. in lak	<u></u>	1st installment
1	Construction of Boys Hostel for	50.50	-	2	<u>_</u>	172. III 1914	TI.	
_	minorities at Washin Tq. Washim, Malegaon Tq.	50:50	3	310.00	775.00	775.00	1550.00	387.50
	Malegaon, Risod Tq. Risod, Manora Tq. Manora (M.P. is			6				
	64.98%)and Karanja Tq.	٠						
	Karanja district Washim			· · ·				
	Total				775.00	775.00	1550.00	387.50

Now, the Govt. of Maharashtra has informed that the proposal was for 4 Girls & 1 Boy hostel instead of 5 boys hostels and requested to make necessary action in this regard.

EC considered & decided to restrict approval to 3 girls hostel as per the availability of funds for the district as given below:

Sl.No.	Name of schemes	Sharing pattern	Unit	Unit cost	Central share	State share	Total cost	50% of the total central share is being released as 1st installment
	Administrative approvals	100.00				Rs. in lal	kh	2 1.104837444€11€
1	Construction of Girls Hostel (100badded) for minorities at district Washim	100:00	3	310.00	930.00		930.00	465.00
	Total		/	<u> </u>	930.00		930.00	465.00

a. Mirha

Decision: The Empowered Committee (EC) decided to approve the above mention projects subject to the condition that locations have substantial percentage of minority population. The Empowered Committee also approved the release of first installment of 50% of Central share with usual terms and conditions.

(B). Arunachal Pradesh

The Government of Arunachal Pradesh submitted additional plan proposals from East Kameng, West Kameng, Tirap, Tawang, Papumpare, Changlang & Lower Subhansiri. The State Government also committed the following with regard to proposals submitted:

1. All the projects have the SLC approval.

2. Land is available and estimates are as per SOR of the State.

3. 'No duplication certificate' has been issued by competent authority.

4. All the proposed projects are located in areas having substantial minority population.

5. Costs are derived from the standard cost of the State Government.

6. The maintenance and the recurring cost related to the assets proposed in this plan will be borne by the State Govt.

(i) East Kameng: The total allocation for East Kameng district, Arunachal Pradesh was Rs. Rs. 18.70 crore during 11th Five year plan. The District has fully exhausted its allocation before March 2012. The district has submitted additional proposal to address the development deficits in the district during 12th Plan Period. State Government of Arunachal Pradesh has accordingly submitted the proposals for consideration which is as follows:

Sl. No.	Name of proposed project	No. of Units	Unit Cost	Central Share	State Share	Total Cost	Comments of MOMA
1.	C/o School building at Govt. HS School, Seppa at Bebo Colony	1	886.48	797.832	88.648	886.48	May be considered. Cost is very high for a school?
2.	C/o School building at Govt. HS School, Bazar Line, Seppa		451.10	405.99	45.99	451.10	May be considered. Cost at higher side.
	Total	2		1203.822	133.758	1337.58	roly are already

DC informed that these schools having 2000 & 1500 students respectively are already running in dilapidated buildings with more than 70% Minority students. The State Govt. representative clarified that the high cost of construction of these school buildings is mainly due to higher transportation cost of the material.

Decision: The Empowered Committee (EC) decided to approve the following projects and also approved the release of first installment of 50% of Central share with usual terms and conditions as given below:

n milm

Sl.No.	Name of schemes	Sharing pattern	Unit	Unit cost	Central share	State share	Total cost	50% of the total central share is being released as
	Administrative approvals					Rs. in lak	h	installment
1	C/o School building at Govt. HS School,	90.10	1	878.00*	790.20	87.80	878.00	395.10
	Seppa at Bebo Colony							
2	C/o School building at Govt. HS School, Bazar Line, Seppa	90:10	1	447.00*	402.30	44.70	447.00	201.15
	Total				1192.50	132.50	1325.00	596.25

*cost calculated without Labour CESS/VAT

(ii) West Kameng: The total allocation for West Kameng district, Arunachal Pradesh was Rs. Rs. 15 crore during 11th Five year plan. The District has fully exhausted its allocation before March 2012. The district has submitted additional proposal to address the development deficits in the district during 12th Plan Period. State Government of Arunachal Pradesh has accordingly submitted the proposals for consideration which is as follows:

SI. No.	Name of proposed project	No. of units	Unit Cost	Central Share	State Share	Total Cost	Comments of MOMA
1.	C/o IAY HOUSES	86	0.485			41.71	May be considered.
2.	C/o Additional class	92	6.07		 	558.44	May be considered.
	rooms in Govt.					330.14	way be considered
	Primary, Middle, Sec.						
	& higher Sec. schools						
	at different blocks	1.			<u> </u>		
3.	·C/- TI4-1	11.00					
<i>3</i> .	C/o Hostels in schools at				<u> </u>		
	Girls Hostels(20	1	31.00	31.00		31.00	May be considered
	boarders) at						•
·	Thembang						·
	Boys Hostel(50	1	60.00	30.00	30.00	60.00	May be considered
	boarders) at Govt.Hr.						
-	Sec. School, Kalaktang						
	Girls Hostel(20	1	40.00	40.00		40.00	May be considered
	boarders) at Denzi						
	Girls hostel at Rajendra	1	100.00	100.00		100.00	May be considered
	Ashram, Rupa						1124) OF COMBIGORES
	Girls hostel at Govt.	1	20.00	20.00		20.00	May be considered
	Middle School, Ditchik					20.00	ivia, oc constacted
		1	20.00	10.00	10.00	20.00	May be considered
	Middle School, Ditchik			23.00	. 0.00	20.00	iviay be considered



	Girls hostel at Govt.	1	25.00	25.00	 25.00	May be considel
Š	Middle School, Nakhu					
	ph-I		<u> </u>			
	Girls hostel at Govt.	1	24.49	24.49	 24.49	May be considered
	Middle School, Dibbin				 	·
	Girls hostel at Govt. IV	1	25.00	25.00	 25.00	May be considered
	School, Khazolang ph-I					
4.	HEALTH					
	C/o District Medical	1	23.36		23.36	More details are
	Store at Bomdila		İ			required
	C/o anganwadi centre	3	3.00		9.00	May be considered
	building at Baliphu,					
	Seesa and					
	Koilabati, B/Pong					
	Total				978.00	May be considered

District representative informed about capacity of the hostels at sr. no. 6 to 11 in the above mentioned table.

Decision: The Empowered Committee (EC) decided to approve the following projects subject to the condition that ICDS norms would be followed in case of Anganwadi centres and also approved the release of first installment of 50% of Central share with usual terms and conditions as given below:

Sl.No.	Name of schemes	Sharing pattern	Unit	Unit cost	Central share	State share	Total cost	50% of the total central share is being released as 1st installment
	Administrative approvals					Rs. in lak	ch.	
1	C/o IAY HOUSES	90:10	86	0.485	37.539	0.0485	41.71	18.7695
2	C/o Additional class rooms in Govt.	90:10	92	6.07	502.596	0.607	558.44	251.298
	Primary, Middle, Sec. & higher Sec. schools							
	at different blocks							
	C/o Hostels in schools at	t different	blocks					}
3	Girls Hostels (20	100:00	1	31.00	31.00		31.00	15.50
	boarders) at Thembang	·						·
4	Boys Hostel(50 boarders) at Govt.Hr. Sec. School, Kalaktang	90:10	1	60.00	54.00	6.00	60.00	27.00
5	Girls Hostel(20 boarders) at Denzi	100:00	1	40.00	40.00		40.00	20.00
6	Girls hostel (50 boarders) at Rajendra	100:00	1	100.00	100.00		100.00	50.00



	Ashram, Rupa		T	T				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
7	Girls hostel (10 boarders) at Govt.	100:00	1	20.00	20.00		20.00	10.00
	Middle School, Ditchik							
8	Boys hostel (10 boarders) at Govt. Middle School	90:10	1	20.00	18.00	2.00	20.00	9.00
	Ditchik							
9	Girls hostel (15 boarders) at Govt.	100:00	1	25.00	25.00		25.00	12.50
	Middle School, Nakhu ph-I						: "	
10	Girls hostel (15 boarders) at Govt. Middle School, Dibbin	100:00	1	24.49	24.49		24.49	12.245
11	Girls hostel (15 boarders) at Govt. IV School, Khellong ph-I	100:00	1	25.00	25.00		25.00	12.50
12		100:00	3	3.00	9.00		9.00	4.50
	Koilabati, B/Pong Total							
	I Total				886.625	8.6555	954.64	443.3125

(iii) Tawang: The total allocation for Tawang district, Arunachal Pradesh was Rs. Rs. 15 crore during 11th Five year plan. The District has fully exhausted its allocation before March 2012. The district has submitted additional proposal to address the development deficits in the district during 12th Plan Period. State Government of Arunachal Pradesh has accordingly submitted the proposals for consideration which is as follows:

SI. No.	Name of proposed project	No. of Units	Unit Cost	Central Share	State Share	Total Cost	Comments of MOMA
1.	Construction of additional class rooms in Schools	57	9.25	474.525	52.725	527.25	May be considered. Location to be
2.	Construction of computer room in Schools	01	20.22	18.195	2.022	20.22	May be considered. Location to be needed.
3.	Construction of toilet block in Schools	42	8.00	302.40	33.60	336.00	May be considered.
4.	Construction of primary health centre building at Lhou	01	50.00	45.00	5.00	50.00	May be considered.
5	Construction of district	01	5.00	4.50	0.50	5.00	More details are



	medical store						required.
6.	Seru micro watershed project against WDPSCA		0.15	67.50	7.50	75.00	More details are required.
<u> </u>	Total	1100		912.125	101.347	1013.47	

Separate list of all the locations has been submitted by the State Govt. where these above mentioned ACRs, Toilets and computer room are to be constructed.

Decision: The Empowered Committee (EC) decided to approve the following projects subject to the condition that NRHM norms would be followed in case of Primary Health Centre and also approved the release of first installment of 50% of Central share with usual terms and conditions as given below:

Sl.No.	Name of schemes	Sharing pattern	Unit	Unit cost	Central share	State share	Total cost	50% of the total central share is being released as 1st installment
	Administrative approvals					Rs. in lak	<u>h</u>	
1	Construction of additional class rooms in Schools	90:10	57	9.25	474.525	52.725	527.25	237.2625
2	Construction of computer room in Mukto Secondary School.	90:10	01	20.22	18.198	2.022	20.22	9.099
3	Construction of toilet block in Schools	90:10	42	8.00	302.40	33.6	336.00	151.20
4	Construction of primary health centre building at Lhou	85:15	01	50.00	42.50	7.50	50.00	21.25
<u> </u>	Total				837.623	95.847	933.47	418.8115

(iv) Papumpare: The total allocation for Papumpare district, Arunachal Pradesh was Rs. Rs. 15 crore during 11th Five year plan. The District has fully exhausted its allocation before March 2012. The district has submitted additional proposal to address the development deficits in the district during 12th Plan Period. State Government of Arunachal Pradesh has accordingly submitted the proposals for consideration which is as follows:

Sl. No.	Name of proposed	No. of Units	Unit Cost	Central Share	State Share	Total Cost	Comments of MOMA
1.	C/o Girls hostel for 20 boarders at Govt. residential Middle	1	30.00	30.00		30.00	May be considered.
	School, Pilla				ļ <u>.</u>	20.00	No. to annidomid
2.	C/o Girls hostel for 20 boarders at Govt. residential Middle		30.00	30.00		30.00	May be considered.



~							
	School, Nargang						
İ	3. C/o Girls hostel fo	r 1	30.00	30.00		30.00	Maril
1	20 boarders at Govi			30.00		30.00	May be considered.
1	residential Middle	e	1				
	School, Nyopang	1.	·				
	4. C/o Girls hostel for	r 1	30.00	30.00			
	20 boarders at Govt	` *	30.00	30.00		30.00	May be considered.
	residential Middle			·			
	School, Totpu	' ·					
,	5. C/o Girls hostel for	$\frac{1}{1}$					
	20	-	30.00	30.00		30.00	May be considered.
-		·				1	
					·		
ļ -	Sarka Happa	 				·	
1 6	6. C/o Medical		80.00	68.15	12.00	80.00	May be considered.
1	building for PHC at	·					may be considered.
-	Toru	<u> </u>					
] 7	7. C/o Additional Class	2	20.00	18.00	2.00	20.00	May be considered
	Rooms at Toru	* .		194	2.00	20.00	
	Secondary School				1	l	but cost at higher side.
8		11	3.00	33.00	 	33.00	
	centres building at	1	3.00	33.00		33.00	May be considered
1	Sagalee				ľ		
9		344	0.80	247.60	 	<u> </u>	
	0 C/o Additional Class			247.68	27.52	275.20	May be considered
1		1	10.00	9.00	1.00	10.00	May be considered
1	Rooms at Govt.			1	1		but cost at higher side
	Middle School,						
	Lekhi Village						
1		1	10.00	9.00	1.00	10.00	May be considered
	Rooms at Govt.						but cost at higher side
1	Middle School,						out oddi at ingilor side
	Borum Village						
12		1	10.00	9.00	1.00	10.00	May be considered
	Rooms at Govt. L.P.				1100	10.00	
	School, Lor Putung		[but cost at higher side
	Village		1	::			
13		1	5.00	4.50	0.50	5.00	
	Rooms at Govt.		3.00	4.50	0.50	5.00	May be considered.
	Primary School,		1				
	Chimi Village		1.0		1	<i>i</i> .	
14		1	5.00	4.50			
17	1	1	5.00	4.50	0.50	5.00	May be considered
		,		[
					.		
	Tarajuli Forest			:			
	Village						
15	C/o Additional Class	1	5.00	4.50	0.50	5.00	May be considered
1.0	, -						oo oomsidered
1.0	Rooms at Govt.	· [
	Middle School, Bath			·		1	
i	Middle School, Bath Village						No. 1
16	Middle School, Bath Village C/o Additional Class	1	5.00	4 50	0.50	5.00	Marila
i	Middle School, Bath Village	1	5.00	4.50	0.50	5.00	May be considered



	Nyorch Village						
1	7 C/o Ring well at	344	1.20	360.72	40.08	400.80	May be considered.
1	various village in	_					No objection
1	13-Itanagar and 14-						certificate is received
1	Doimukh	,			1		for only 250 units of
	A/constituency	•					ring wells.
<u> </u>	A/Constituency			000 40	07.70	1009.00	
	Total	705	1	922.40	86.60	1009.00	<u> </u>

On the issue of higher cost ACRs at Sr. No. 10, 11 & 12, the State Govt. representatives clarified that the higher cost is due to larger size of the class room as the strength of the students in school requires more students to be accommodated in the class.

Decision: The Empowered Committee (EC) decided to approve the following projects subject to the condition that ICDS norms would be followed in case of Anganwadi centres and also approved the release of first installment of 50% of Central share with usual terms and conditions as given below:

Sl. No.	Name of schemes	Sharing pattern	No. of Units	Unit Cost	Central Share	State Share	Total Cost	50% of the total central share is being released as 1st installment
	Administrative approváls				Rs. in la	kh		
1.	C/o Girls hostel for 20 boarders at Govt. residential Middle School, Pilla	100:00	1	30.00	30.00		30.00	15.00
2.	C/o Girls hostel for 20 boarders at Govt. residential Middle School, Nargang	100:00	1.	30.00	30.00		30.00	15.00
3.	C/o Girls hostel for 20 boarders at Govt. residential Middle School, Nyopang	100:00	1	30.00	30.00		30.00	15.00
4.	C/o Girls hostel for 20 boarders at Govt. residential Middle School, Totpu	100:00	1	30.00	30.00		30.00	15.00
5.	C/o Girls hostel for 20 boarders at Middle School,	100:00	1	30.00	30.00		30.00	15.00
6.	Sarka Happa C/o Medical building for PHC at Toru	85:15	1	80.00	68.00	12.00	80.00	34.00
7.			2	20.00	18.00	2.00	20.00	9.00



8.			11	3.00	33.00		33.00	16.50
	centres building at							10.50
9.	Sagalee C/o IAY houses	90:10	244	0.405	1.55			
10			344	0.485	150.156	16.684		75.078
	Class Rooms at	1 3.0.20	1	10.00	9.00	1.00	10.00	4.50
	Govt. Middle							
	School, Lekhi							
	Village							
11		90:10	1	10.00	9.00	1.00	10.00	4.50
	Class Rooms at	1					10.00	7.50
	Govt. Middle							
	School, Borum							
12	Village C/o Additional	00.10	 	10.00				
12	Class Rooms at	90:10	1	10.00	9.00	1.00	10.00	4.50
	Govt. L.P. School,		**					
	Lor Putung Village							
13		90:10	1	5.00	4.50	0.50	5.00	2.25
-	Class Rooms at					0.50	3.00	2.23
	Govt. Primary							
i	School, Chimi							
	Village							
14		90:10	1	5.00	4.50	0.50	5.00	2.25
	Class Rooms at Govt. Middle						. 1 %	
	School, Tarajuli		٠.					
]	Forest Village							
15		90:10	1	5.00	4.50	0.50	5.00	2.25
	Class Rooms at	4		3.00	1.50	0.50	3.00	2.23
	Govt. Middle							
	School, Bath	'						
	Village		2.7					
16	1	90:10	1	5.00	4.50	0.50	5.00	2.25
	Class Rooms at							
	Govt. Middle School, Nyorch							
	Village Nyolen	·						
17	C/o Ring well at	90:10	250	1.20	270.00	30.00	300.00	135.00
•	various village in				2,0.00	30.00	500.00	133.00
	13-Itanagar and 14-							
	Doimukh							
	A/constituency							
	Total		_ <u> </u>		734.156	65.684	799.84	367.078

(v) Tirap: The total allocation for Tirap district, Arunachal Pradesh was Rs. Rs. 17.80 crore during 11th Five year plan. The District has fully exhausted its allocation before March 2012. The district has submitted additional proposal to address the development deficits in the district

h. Micha

during 12th Plan Period. State Government of Arunachal Pradesh has accordingly submitted the proposals for consideration which is as follows:

Sl. No.	Name of proposed project	Total Cost	Comments of MOMA
1.	C/o residential School at Kanubari, Longding	325.00	May be considered.
2.	C/o Vocational Training Centre at Longding	150.00	More details are required.
3.	C/o ITI building at Kanubari	100.00	Details of ITI are not
	,		given. Provide more details like trades etc.
		30.00	More details are required
4.	C/o Rainwater harvesting storage structure		
5.	C/o Solar Lights for domestic purpose at Chanu village	5.00	MNRE
6.	Promotion of Poultry farming and poultry feed	5.00	More details are required.
7.	Trainign of Youth in Tailoriong making of CC Block	5.00	More details are required.
8.	Terrace Cutting and awareness creation for terrace cultivation	5.00	More details are required.
9.	Extension and improvement of HT/LT Line i/c	100.00	May be taken from
7.	providing 315 KVA, 11/0.4 KV 2 nos Transformer S/S		MNRE
	in Khonsa Township in Tirap District		
10.	C/o Drinking water supply scheme(Ground Water) at	50.00	May be considered but
	Notunkheti & Makar village		more details are required.
11.	C/o RCC/SPT- Type-IIteachers Qtrs at for teachers at	100.00	More details are required.
	GHSS at Deomali, Govt. Primary School at New Doida,		
	Old Doidam, Mopaya, Namsangmukh, New Dogrong		
12.	C/o RCC/SPT Type-Ilteachers Qtrs at Sec. School,	100.00	More details are required.
	Kapu, ME School Gaimai, Old Laptang, Pullong, Old		: .
	Paniduria, Khela, Primary School at Lahokucha, new	1	
	Laptang, New Tupi, Noksa	50.00	May be considered but
13.	C/o Dirinking water Supply Scheme at Pullong,	30.00	more details are required.
	Borduaria, Pokkom village	20.00	May be considered.
14.	C/o Additional Class Rooms at Govt. HS School,	20.00	Number of units and per
	Khonsa		unit cost required.
1.5	C/o Drinking Water Supply Scheme at Lower Kolam &	30.00	May be considered but
15.	Upper Kolam villages of Lazu Village		more details are required.
16		80.00	May be considered.
16.	C/O IA I Houses under Dadam and Lazu		Number of units and per
1			unit cost required.
17.	C/O RCC/SPT type -II Teacher Qtrs under Dadam and	70.00	More details are required.
''	Lazu circles		
18.	C CITC - Viscos	83.369	More details are required.
19.		14.00	More details are required.
20.	136' 4	10.00	More details are required.
20.	Total	1157.37	
L	I ULAI		

During the meeting District representative provided the number of units the projects. Some projects were decline/deferred due to non-availability of relevant necessary information. It was



suggested to the State Government to furnish the adequate justification/information related to these projects.

Decision: The Empowered Committee (EC) decided to approve the following projects and also approved the release of first installment of 50% of Central share with usual terms and conditions as given below:

Sl.No.	Name of schemes	Sharing pattern	Unit	Unit cost	Central share	State share	Total cost	50% of the total central share is
:								being released as 1 st installment
	Administrative approvals				I	Rs. in lakh	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
1	C/o residential School at Kanubari, Longding	90:10	1	301.00*	270.90	30.10	301.00	135.45
2	C/o Drinking water supply scheme(Ground Water) at Notunkheti & Makar village	90:10	1	50.00	45.00	5.00	50.00	22.50
3	C/o Dirinking water Supply Scheme at Pullong, Borduaria, Pokkom village	90:10	1	50.00	45.00	5.00	50.00	22.50
4	C/o Additional Class Rooms at Govt. HS School, Khonsa	90:10	3	6.67	18.009	2.001	20.01	9.0045
5	C/o Drinking Water Supply Scheme at Lower Kolam & Upper Kolam villages of Lazu Village	90:10	1	30.00	27.00	3.00	30.00	13.50
6	C/o IAY Houses under Dadam and Lazu	90:10	165	0.485	72.0225	8.0025	80.025	36.01125
_	Total				477.9315	53.1035	531.035	238.965

^{*}cost calculated without Labour CESS/VAT

(vi). Chnaglang and (vii). Lower Subhansiri: The State Government has also proposed projects for the districts of Changlang and Lower Subhansiri for consideration of the EC. The proposal could not be considered as no representative has attended the meeting from these two districts.

g. Minher