## F.No. 3/68/2013-PP Government of India Ministry of Minority Affairs

11<sup>th</sup> Floor, Paryavaran Bhavan, C.G.O. Complex, Lodi Road, NewDelhi-110003,

Dated: 18.02.2014

Subject:

Minutes of the 82<sup>nd</sup> meeting of the Empowered Committee held on 30<sup>th</sup> January, 2014 under the Chairmanship of Secretary, Ministry of Minority Affairs to consider and approve the plan proposals of the Uttar Pradesh, Sikkim Chhattisgarh, Mizoram and Uttrakhand.

The undersigned is directed to forward herewith minutes of the above mentioned Empowered Committee meeting held on 30.1.14 under the Chairmanship of Secretary (Minority Affairs) for information.

Under Secretary to the Govt. of India

To:

- Tele No.: 2434283 1. Ministry of Finance (Secretary, Dept. of Expenditure), North Block, New Delhi.
- 2. Advisor (Social Sector), Planning Commission, Yojana Bhawan, New Delhi.
- 3. Secretary, Dept. of School Education & Literacy, Ministry of HRD, Shastri Bhawan,
- 4. Secretary, Ministry of Women & Child Development, Room no. 602, Shastri Bhawan,
- 5. Secretary, Dept. Drinking Water Supply, Paryavaran Bhawan, CGO Complex.
- 6. Secretary, Ministry of Health & Family Welfare, Nirman Bhawan, New delhi.
- 7. Secretary, Ministry of Labour & Empolyment, Shram Shakti Bhawan, New Delhi.

#### Copy to:

- Secretary, Social Welfare Department, Civil Secretariat, Room No. 723, Govt. of Mizoram,
- Secretary, Department of Social Welfare, Govt. of Chhattisgarh, DKS Bhawan, Mantralaya, ii.
- Principal Secretary, Social Justice Empowerment & Welfare, Department, Govt. of Sikkim, Old iii. Secretariat, Gangtok-737101. iv.
- Secretary, Minority Welfare Department, R.No. 314, Naveen Building, 3rd Floor, 4 Subhash Road, Sachivalaya, Govt. of Uttrakhand, Dehradun-248001 V.
- Secretary, Minority Welfare Dept. & Wakf, 4th Floor, Bapu Bhawan, Govt. of Uttar Pradesh,

#### F.No. 3/68/2013-PP Ministry of Minority Affairs Govt. of India

## MINUTES OF THE 82<sup>nd</sup> MEETING OF EMPOWERED COMMITTEE UNDER MULTI-SECTORAL DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMME HELD ON 30<sup>th</sup> JANUARY, 2014 UNDER THE CHAIRMANSHIP OF SECRETARY, MINISTRY OF MINORITY AFFAIRS.

The 82<sup>nd</sup> Meeting of the Empowered Committee (EC)for Multi-sectoral Development Programme(MsDP)was held on 30<sup>th</sup> January, 2014 under the Chairmanship of Secretary, Ministry of Minority Affairs, to consider and approve the project proposals with regard to the Minority Concentration Blocks (MCBs)/Minority Concentration Towns (MCTs) received from State Governments of Uttar Pradesh, Sikkim, Chattisgarh, Mizoram and Uttrakhand. The representatives of Deptt.of Drinking Water and Sanitation attended the meeting. The Officials from State Governments of the meeting through video conferencing. A list of officials present in the meeting is annexed as annexure - I.

- 2. Progress on website: The progress of implementation of MsDP is reported on quarterly basis to the Delivery Monitoring Unit (DMU) in PMO (Prime Minister's Office) and the status of implementation of various projects in terms of funds released by the Ministry of Minority Affairs and utilized by the State/UT; number of works sanctioned, completed and works in progress is regularly monitored. These details and photographs of works are placed in the Ministry's website for transparency. State Governments/UT Administrations are required to review the implementation on quarterly basis; ensure that Central funds are released to the districts within one month of sanction; State share (wherever applicable) released along with the Central funds; ensure that the executing agencies start the construction works at the earliest, and complete the construction works within the scheduled period. A computer software application called MIS-MsDP has been made operational in July, 2011 and the States/UT have been advised to ensure that data is entered by all concerned so that Quarterly Progress Report (QPR), utilization certificate and various progress reports is generated through the software.
- 3. Adhering to guidelines and eliminating duplication: States/UTs should ensure that the proposals sent have the approval of the State Level Committee of MsDP / mission director of CSS concerned in order to ensure that the proposal, in terms of specification, norms, standards etc., is in accordance with the guidelines of the scheme concerned and that duplication has been ruled out. The



responsibility for eliminating duplication of work and avoiding double counting of a scheme under two funding sources vested with both the district authority and the State Government. Accounts under MsDP should be maintained separately and Central Ministry concerned informed of assets created in respect of CSS topped up under MsDP.

- 4. Centrally Sponsored Scheme (CSS) guidelines: MsDP provides that there would be no change in guidelines of any existing Centrally Sponsored Scheme (CSS) under implementation in such districts for which this programme would provide additional funds. In case schemes for individual benefits are taken up under the programme, there shall be no divergence from existing norms for selection of beneficiaries from the list of BPL families in the district, so that benefits from the additional funds flow to all BPL families and not selectively. However, the provision under Indira Awas Yojana (IAY) guidelines for making separate allocation for Scheduled Castes (SC) or Scheduled Tribes (ST) will not be followed under MsDP. The entire number of units sanctioned under MsDP would be given to BPL households, from minority communities as well as other communities, in order of the serial number in the approved wait list without making separate allocation for Scheduled Castes (SC) or Scheduled Tribes (ST).
- 4.1. <u>Aganwadi Centre</u>: Where ever Aganwadi Centre has been approved, it should be ensured to follow the norms of Ministry of Women and Child Development that the minimum area of AWC would be 600 sq. feet with a sitting room for children/women, separate kitchen, store for storing food items, child friendly toilets and space for children to play, with drinking water facility.
- 5. <u>Timely release of funds:</u> The sanction letters of the Ministry stipulate that funds should be released to the district/implementing agency within a month's time, but the States/UT have been generally taking much time in releasing funds to the district/implementing agency. State shares, wherever applicable, are not released along with the Central funds by some States. Prompt release of funds was advised to ensure that works are started at the earliest.
- 6. <u>Utilisation Certificate:</u> For release of second installment, utilization certificate (UC) is required. If the UC is furnished within a period of one year after release by the Ministry, 60% UC would be required for releasing the next installment. However, if utilization is made beyond the period of a year, 100% utilization would be necessary. The UCs would need to be accompanied by QPR showing physical progress, photographs as proof of the work in progress and the phasing of funds for the release sought. The Utilization Certificates of the 2<sup>nd</sup>instalment should also be submitted by the States/UTs to the Ministry.



- Sample testing and quality control: Quality control was to be ensured through regular and 7. frequent field visits and by testing samples of the construction work for which funds would be sanctioned by the Ministry on receipt of request from the State concerned. All the States/UTs were advised to carry out field visits, quality control tests and review all works under MsDP to ensure that the shortcomings do not take place in their State/UT.
- Inclusion of Members of Parliament in State and district level committees: Members of 8. Parliament (MP) and Member of Legislative Assembly (MLAs) have been included in the State and district level committees for implementation of the Prime Minister's New 15 Point Programme for the Welfare of Minorities which also serves as the committee for MsDP. MLAs are also to be nominated on the Committees by the State Government. It should be ensured that they are invited to attend the meetings of the district and State level committees.
- 9. <u>Display boards:</u> Para 19 of the scheme of MsDP provides for a 'display board' which should have- Name of the project/scheme printed followed by "Multi-sectoral Development Programme (MsDP), Ministry of Minority Affairs, Govt. of India." For projects with longer construction period, in addition to above, the name of implementing agency,date of sanction of the project, likely date of completion and estimated cost of the project should be printed on the display boards. States/UT should ensure this and put up a permanent display on completion of each project.
- General conditions applicable to projects approved by the Empowered Committee 10. For approvals given by the Empowered Committee, including in-principle approvals, the Principal Secretary/Secretary of State's/UTs and the District Collectors/representatives were advised to note that the following conditions would apply to all projects under MsDP. State Government/UT administration concerned should ensure that the following have been complied with:-

Approval of the State department concerned/Mission Director for Centrally Sponsored Scheme (CSS) concerned have covered the following -

- Need for having the proposal sanctioned under MsDP. (a)
- Duplication has been eliminated. (b)
- Proposal is as per norms, specifications, layout design, funding norms and cost (c) norms of the CSS guidelines concerned.
- Separate accounts for schemes under MsDP will be maintained and details sent to the (d) Central Ministry concerned for maintaining proper record of assets and avoiding double counting and duplication.
- Estimates have been prepared as perSchedule of Rates (SOR) of the State (e) Government and have been approved by a competent engineering department of the



Staff is available for functioning unit or will be provided for new unit. (f)

Recurring expenditure would be provided by the State Government. (g)

Land is available and/or will be made available by the State Government in (h) villages/locations having the highest proportion (percentage) of minority population.

- Review meetings of Oversight committee in State, and State and district level 11. committees: The scheme of MsDP envisages quarterly review meetings by the State and district level committees for implementation of the Prime Minister's New 15 Point Programme for the Welfare of Minorities which also serves as the review committees for MsDP. The State level committee also acts as the Oversight Committee for MsDP. Quarterly review meetings for State level committee/State Oversight Committee and district level Committee should be held and copy of the meeting notice should be sent to the Ministry to enable a representative to attend State Level meetings as envisaged under MsDP. It should be ensured that Members of Parliament nominated in such Committees are invited to attend the meetings.
- 12. Photographs: Photographs of completed works and works in progress indicating type of asset, name of location/village and date should be placed in the MIS-MsDP web page and officials from the State and districts were impressed upon the need to have photographs, in digital format sent by email to Shri U.K. Sinha, Under Secretary in the Ministry-ujjwal.sinha@nic.in. The photographs are required for release of second installment.
- The Chairman (EC) emphasized that the benefits accrued by the implementation of MsDP 13. should go to the Minority Communities properly. Therefore, not only location of the assets in the areas having substantial minority population is important, but it is also equally important to see that the assets created are actually imparting benefits to the minorities. The State Government was impressed upon to propose the locations of projects under MsDP accordingly.

## 14. Item No. (A) Uttar Pradesh

The EC took note of the following information given by the State Secretary/ District administration during the meeting:-

Land is available and estimates are as per SOR of the State. i)

All the proposed projects are located in areas having substantial minority population. ii)

Costs are derived from the standard cost of the State Government. iii)

The maintenance and the recurring cost related to the assets proposed in this plan will be iv) borne by the State Govt. v)

Duplication would be ruled out.



Regarding proposals for skill development it was informed that the same should be submitted separately and in line with the norms laid down vide this Ministry's D.O. letter no. 3/31/2012-PP dated 28.01.2014.

The EC considered the project proposals submitted by the State Government of U.P. in respect of Minority Concentration Towns (MCTs) of 05 Districts namely, Etawah, Kanpur Nagar, Firozabad, Jalaun and Aligarh of Uttar Pradesh identified for implementation of MsDP during 12<sup>th</sup> Five Year Plan under restructured MsDP and decided to approve the projects as given below subject to the terms and conditions mentioned in the minutes, and also decided to release the 1<sup>st</sup> installment of 50% of the Central share for implementation of the projects so approved:-

1. <u>Etawah District:</u> The State Govt. clarified that though the number of minority students in the proposed Girls Inter College is 19.18% at present but the catchment area of the college definitely has more than 25% minority population. EC agreed to this project based on this clarification.

(i) Etawah (MB)

S. No	Name of projects	Sharin g ratio	Unit	Unit	Central share	State Share	Total	1 <sup>st</sup> instalme-
! 	Construction of ACRs in Govt. Girls Inter- college at Etawah	75:25	08	5.765	34.59	11.53	46.12	17.30
	Total				34.59	11.53	46.12	17.30

2. <u>Aligarh District</u>(i) Aligarh (MR)

S. No	Name of projects	Sharing ratio	Unit	Unit cost	Central share	State Share	Total Cost	1 <sup>st</sup> instalme
1	Construction of Govt. High school at Gram Roravar, Bhujpura (60% m.p.)	75:25	02	52.00	78.00	26.00	104.00	39.00
2	Construction of 02 toilet for boys in Govt. inter-college (36% m.p.)	70:30	02	1.81	2.53	1.09	3.62	1.27
3	Construction of 01 Geography practical lab in Govt. inter- college (36% m.p.)	75:25	01	7.12	5.34	1.78	7.12	2.67
4	Construction of 01 library room in Govt. inter (36% m.p.)	75:25	01	7.00	5.25	1.75	7.00	2.63
State	Total  Govt. informed to EC	that many			91.12	30.62	121.74	45.57

State Govt. informed to EC that proposed unit cost i.e. Rs. 3.62 lakh for two toilets is justified as these are multiple seated toilets.

(N)

3. <u>Jalaun</u>

(i) Konch (MB)

S. No	Name of projects	Sharing ratio	Unit	Unit cost	Central share	State Share	Total Cost	1 <sup>st</sup> instalme
I	Construction of Govt. Girls inter-college at Mohall Malviya nagar (Gole Bangaliya)(50% m.p.)	75:25	1	236.00	177.00	59.00	236.00	88.50
	Total				177.00	59.00	236.00	88.50

### (ii) Jalaun (MB)

S.	Name of projects	Sharing	Unit	Unit cost	Central	G4-4	T	(Rs. in lakh
No		ratio		omi cost	share	State Share	Total Cost	1 <sup>st</sup> instalme
1	Construction of Govt. Girls inter-college at Auriya Road (40% m.p.)	75:25	1	236.00	177.00	59.00	236.00	88.50
2	Construction of Primary school building (Urdu Medium) at Topkhana(50% m.p.)	65:35	1	6.72	4.37	2.35	6.72	2.19
	Total				181.37	61.35	242.72	90.69

The State Govt. was requested to send revised proposal for ITI at Topkhana mentioning the trades and NCVT norms certification.

## 4. Firozabad District

Firozabad (MB): The Project proposals of Firozabad (MB) were considered by the EC but could not approve as the cost estimate was very high. Principal Secretary, Govt. of Uttar Pradesh assured that they will come again with justified cost.

5. Kanpur Nagar: Kanpur (CB) has been identified as MCT under MsDP and therefore proposals of that area only could be considered. District representative informed that only Jajmau cluster falls in Kanpur (CB) area. Other clusters proposed are adjoining the Kanpur (CB) area. In Jajmau cluster employment generation programme was proposed but was not approved as there was no clarity in the project.



### 15. (B). Chattisgarh

The EC took note of the following information furnished by the State Govt. regarding availability of land, cost etc. as given below:

- (a) It has been ensured that all the locations proposed have at least 25% minority population in its catchment area.
  - (b) It has been ensured that all the school where ACRs, Labs and Hostels are proposed have at least 25% enrolment of the minority students.
- ii. The cost estimates proposed for the different works/projects are as per the standardized cost derived on the basis of norms/design prescribed by the concerned Ministry for that particular work.
- iii. It has been ensured that there is no duplication of the work with any scheme of the central Government or a state Govt. and the concerned Director/Mission Director has been consulted in this regard.
- iv. The land for all the construction activities is available.
- v. The maintenance and the recurring cost related to the assets proposed in this plan will be borne by the State Government.
- vi. The plan proposal has been recommended by the State Level Committee for PM's 15 Point Programme.
- vii. The projects of water supply scheme has been approved by the SLSSC.

Anganwadi Centers: - The EC decided that the Anganwadi Centers would be constructed only at those needy places where the center is running in inadequate rented house and where the center is in dilapidated condition. Further, the centers have been approved at the cost prescribed by the Ministry of Women & Child Development (WCD). Any further cost would be borne by the State Government. Whereever Anganwadi Center has been approved, it should be ensured to follow the norms of Ministry of Ministry of WCD that the minimum area of AWC would be 600 sq. feet with a sitting room for children/women, separate kitchen, store for storing food items, child friendly toilets and apace for children to play, with drinking water facility.

The EC considered the project proposals submitted by the State Govt. of Chattisgarh in respect of Minority Concentration Blocks (MCBs) of 1 district namely, Jashpur identified for implementation of MsDP during 12<sup>th</sup> Five Year Plan under restructured MsDP.

EC requested the State Govt. to purchase the computers on open tender basis through advertisement in the newspapers.

EC decided to approve the projects as given below subject to the terms and conditions mentioned in the minutes, and also decided to release the 1<sup>st</sup> instalment of 50% of the central share for implementation of the projects so approved.

( No.

## i. <u>Jashpur Nagar Block</u>

S.No.		Chart	т——	T =	<del>-,</del>	(Rs. in lakh)			
5.No.	Name of projects	Sharing ratio	Unit	Unit cost	Central share	State Share	Total	1 <sup>st</sup>	
	Administrative approval				Share	Бпаге	Cost	installmen	
1.	Construction of Anganwadi Centers	75:25	6	4.50	20.25	6.75	27.00	10.13	
2.	Construction of drinking water supply scheme for village	50:50	2	7.50	7.50	7.50	15.00	3.75	
3.	Construction of ring well for drinking water	50:50	20	1.80	18.00	18.00	36.00	9.00	
4.	ACRs in Govt. Higher Secondary School	75:25	10	4.60	34.50	11.50	46.00	17.25	
	ACRs in Govt. High School	75:25	10	4.60	34.50	11.50	46.00	17.25	
	Construction of ACRs in lower primary and middle schools	75:25	25	3.10	58.13	19.37	77.50	29.07	
7.	Construction of hostels for ITI Jashpur (50 bedded 25-25 each for Boys & Girls)	50:50	1	105.48	52.98	52.98	105.48	26.49	
8.	Construction of hostel for Polytechnic, Jashpur (50 bedded 25-25 each for Boys & Girls)	50:50	1	105.48	52.98	52.98	105.48	26.49	
9. i	Construction of additional room in hostel for girls in high/secondary schools	100:00	10	10.00	100.00	00.00	100.00	50.00	
10 i	Construction of additional room	50:50	10	10.00	50.00	50.00	100.00	25.00	
11 (	Computers with Accessories	75:25	43	0.45	14.51	4.84	19.35	7.24	
	Total				443.35	235.42	677.81	7.26 221.69	

ii. <u>M</u>anora Block

Name of projects	Sharing ratio	Unit	Unit cost	Central	State	Total	1 <sup>st</sup>
Administrative approval					Duale	Cost	installmen
Construction of Aganwadi Centers	75:25	20	4.5	67.50	22.5	90	33.75
Construction of drinking water supply scheme for village	50:50	10	7.50	37.50			
Construction of ring well for	50:50	23	1.80				18.75
ACRs in Govt. Higher	75:25	8					10.35
ACRs in Govt. High School	75:25						13.80
Construction of ACRs in lower	75:25						29.06
	Administrative approval  Construction of Aganwadi Centers  Construction of drinking water supply scheme for village  Construction of ring well for drinking water  ACRs in Govt. Higher Secondary School  ACRs in Govt. High School	Administrative approval  Construction of Aganwadi Centers  Construction of drinking water supply scheme for village  Construction of ring well for drinking water  ACRs in Govt. Higher Secondary School  ACRs in Govt. High School  ACRs in Govt. High School  Construction of ACRs in lower	Administrative approval  Construction of Aganwadi Centers  Construction of drinking water supply scheme for village  Construction of ring well for drinking water  ACRs in Govt. Higher Secondary School  ACRs in Govt. High School	Administrative approval  Construction of Aganwadi Centers  Construction of drinking water supply scheme for village  Construction of ring well for drinking water  ACRs in Govt. Higher Secondary School  ACRs in Govt. High School  ACRs in Govt. High School  ACRs in Govt. High School  Construction of ACRs in lower	Administrative approval  Construction of Aganwadi Centers  Construction of drinking water supply scheme for village  Construction of ring well for drinking water  ACRs in Govt. Higher Secondary School  ACRs in Govt. High School  Construction of ACRs in lower	Administrative approval  Construction of Aganwadi Centers  Construction of drinking water supply scheme for village  Construction of ring well for drinking water  ACRs in Govt. Higher Secondary School  ACRs in Govt. High School  ACRs in Govt. High School  Construction of ACRs in lower  Construction of ACRs in lower  Construction of ACRs in lower	Administrative approval         75:25         20         4.5         67.50         22.5         90           Construction of Aganwadi Centers         75:25         20         4.5         67.50         22.5         90           Construction of drinking water supply scheme for village         50:50         10         7.50         37.50         37.50         75.00           Construction of ring well for drinking water         50:50         23         1.80         20.70         20.70         41.40           ACRs in Govt. Higher Secondary School         75:25         8         4.60         27.60         9.20         36.80           ACRs in Govt. High School         75:25         12         4.60         41.40         13.80         55.20           Construction of ACRs in lower         75:25         25         2.10         2.10         2.10



	Total		l		412.28	166.22	578.50	206.14
	Tetal					5.15	12.00	4.73
9	Computers with Accessories	75:25	28	0.45	9.45	3.15	12.60	4.72
8	Construction of additional room in hostel for boys in high/secondary schools	50:50	8	10.00	40.00	40.00	80.00	20.00
7	Construction of additional room in hostel for girls in high/secondary schools	100:00	11	10.00	110.00	00.00	110.00	55.00

iii. <u>D</u>uldula Block

S.No.	Name of projects	Sharing ratio	Unit	Unit cost	Central share	State Share	Total Cost	1 <sup>st</sup> installment
	Administrative approval						Cost	Instantient
1.	Construction of Aganwadi Centers	75:25	30	4.50	101.25	33.75	135	50.625
2.	Construction of drinking water supply scheme for village	50:50	1	7.50	3.75	3.75	7.50	1.87
3.	Construction of ring well for drinking water	50:50	5	1.80	4.50	4.50		
4.	ACRs in Govt. Higher Secondary School	75:25	32	4.60	4.50	4.50	9.00	2.25
5.	ACRs in Govt. High School	75:25	24		110.40	36.80	147.20	55.20
	Construction of ACRs in	13.23	24	4.60	82.50	27.90	110.40	41.25
6.	lower primary and middle schools	75:25	50	3.10				
7.					116.25	38.75	155.00	58.13
	Computers with Accessories	75:25	40	0.45	13.50	4.50	18.00	6.75
	Total				432.15	149.95	582.10	0.73

## iv. Kunkuri Block

S.No.	Name of projects	Sharing ratio	Unit	Unit cost	Central share	State Share	Total Cost	1 <sup>st</sup>
	Administrative approval	<del></del>	<del> </del>			Share	Cost	installment
1.	Construction of Aganwadi Centers	75:25	50	4.50	168.75	56.25	225	84.37
2.	Construction of drinking water supply scheme for village	50:50	3	7.50	11.25	11.25	22.50	5.62
3.	Construction of ring well for drinking water	50:50	5	1.80	4.50	4.50	9.00	2.25
4.	ACRs in Govt. Higher Secondary School	75:25	16	4.60	55.20	18.40	73.60	27.60
5.	ACRs in Govt. High School	75:25	8	4.60	27.60	9.20	36.80	13.80



					384.03	204.63	588.18	192.01
	Total							
8.	Computers with Accessories	75:25	52	0.45	17.55	5.85	23.40	8.78
7.	Construction of hostels for ITI Kunkuri (50 bedded 25-25 each for Boys & Girls)	50:50	1	105.48	52.98	52.98	105.48	26.49
6.	Construction of School Building in primary and middle school	50:50	12	7.7	46.20	46.20	92.40	23.10

v. Kansabel Block

S.No.	Name of projects	Sharing ratio	Unit	Unit cost	Central share	State Share	Total Cost	186
	Administrative approval					- Share	Cost	installment
1.	Construction of Aganwadi Centers	75:25	70	4.50	236.25	78.75	315.00	118.125
2.	Construction of drinking water supply scheme for village	50:50	2	7.50	7.50			110.123
3.	Construction of ring well for drinking water	50:50	5	1.80	7.50	7.50	15.00	3.75
4.	ACRs in Govt. Higher Secondary School	75:25	10	4.60	4.50	4.50	9.00	2.25
5.	ACRs in Govt. High School	75:25	12	160	34.50	11.50	46.00	17.25
6.				4.60	41.40	13.80	55.20	20.70
	Computers with Accessories	75:25	40	0.45	13.50	4.50	18.00	6.75
	Total	_		į	337.65	120.55	458.20	168,825

## 16. (C). Mizoram

The EC took note of the following information furnished by the State Government with the proposal w.r.t. Mamit and Lawngtlai district:-

- (i) Land for all construction activities is available.
- (ii) The plan proposal has the approval of the State Level Committee for 15 PP.
- (iii) There is no duplication of the proposed work with any scheme of the Central Govt. or the State Govt. and the concerned Director/Mission Director has been consulted.
- (iv) Executing Agency have been selected for the projects.
- (v) All the locations/catchment area proposed for the projects have atleast 25% minority population.
- (vi) Costs estimates for the different works/projects are as per the standardized cost derived on the basis of norms/designs prescribed by the concerned Ministry for that particular work.
- (vii) The projects of water supply scheme have been approved by the SLSSC.



The EC considered the project proposals submitted by the State Government of Mizoram in respect of 3 Minority Concentration Blocks(MCBs) of 2 districts namely Mamit district and Lawngtlai district. The EC wanted to know about the lower cost of ACR in Mamit district than in Lawngtlai district. It was clarified that the locations of Mamit are near to the highway of Tripura and material are procured easily. In Lawngtlai, the cost of procurement of material is higher. It was also clarified that the RCC design of the buildings would be as prevalent in the State. It would be a semi Pucca building.

For purchase of Computers & Printers in Mamit district, the EC directed State Govt. to purchase the Computers and printers on the open tender basis through advertisement in the newspapers.

For the reconstruction of Building in Lawngtlai, it was mentioned by State Govt. that these are very old structure and in dilapidated condition.

The EC decided to approve the following projects and also decided to release the 1<sup>st</sup> installment of 50% of the Central share for implementation of the projects so approved with usual terms and conditions:-

1. Mamit District

<u>i)</u>	West Phaileng Block						Па	i T
S. No.	Name of projects	Sharing ratio	Unit	Unit	Central share	State	Total	in Lakh)
1	Water Supply Scheme(RCC reservoir of lakh liters and 8500 connections) in Rajiv Nagar (Intake, gravity main, reservoir & distribution lines)	90:10	1	91.09	81.98	<b>Share</b> 9.11	91.09	instalment
2	Extension of of High School Building in Marpara (2 ACRs)	90:10	1	5.00	4.50	0.50	5.00	2.25
3	Construction of Additional Class Rooms for Primary School at Tuirum, Andermanik, Dampa Zodin, Silsury, Lallen, Parvatui	90:10	6	2.50	13.50	1.50	15.00	6.75
4	Construction of Additional Class Rooms for Middle School at Rajivnagar (2 No.), Damparengpui, Silsury, Lallen & Phuldungsei	90:10	6	2.50	13.50	1.50	15.00	6.75
5	Provision of Computer Set & Printer in Primary/Upper Primary/Middle schools at different locations	90:10	60	0.40	21.60	2.40	24.00	10.80
6	Construction of Anganwadi Centres at Hnahva Centre-I, Rajivnagar Centre-(III,IV,V), Damparengpui- IV, Marpara North (I&II)	90:10	7	4.50	28.35	3.15	31.50	14.18
	Total				163.43	18.16	181.59	81.72



# 2. <u>Lawngtlai District</u>i) <u>Lawngtlai RD Block</u>

(Rs. in Lakh) S. Sharing Unit Central State Total Name of projects Unit No. ratio cost share Share Cost instalment Construction of Anganwadi Centres 90:10 1 Hruitezawl. Ngengpuikai 4 4.50 16.20 1.80 18.00 8.10 Rulkual(2 No.) Construction of Kitchen with toilet for Anganwadi Centres at Sakeilui-I, Sakeilui-II(2 No.), Sumsilui(2 No.), 90:10 2 Tuikhurlui. Hmawngbuchhuah. 12 3.00 32.40 3.60 36.00 16.20 Zochachhuah. Kakichhuah. Tuidangtlang, Ngengpuikai Sabualtlang Reconstruction of Primary School Building at Sakeilui(I &Π), Tuikhurlui, Sabualtlang, Hruitezawl 3 6 27.70 149.58 & Zochachhuah 16.62 166.20 74.79 90:10 (Class room -5, Staff Room -1 and toilets separate for Boys & Girls) Construction of Addl. Class Rooms with toilet (separate for Boys & Girls) at Sakeilui(I&II), Tuikhurlui, 4 Sabualtlang, Hruihtezawl. 11 7.00 69.30 7.70 77.00 90:10 34.65 Hmawngbuchhuah. Rulkual. Zochachhuah. Tuidangtlang, Ngengpuikai & Kakichhuah Construction of Health Sub Centres 5 Sakeilui-II, Zochachhuah. 90:10 4 9.50 34.20 3.80 38.00 17.10 Kakichhuah & Tuidangtlang Total 301.68 33.52 335.20 150.84

### ii) Chanwngte Block

(Rs. in Lakh) S. Sharing Unit Central State Total Name of projects Unit No. ratio cost share Share Cost instalment Construction of Anganwadi Centres 1 90:10 3 at kamalanagar, Ngharum & Montola 4.50 12.15 1.35 13.50 6.08 Construction of Middle Schools Bldg. 2 at Saizawh 'W', Rengashya & 90:10 3 31.00 83.70 9.30 93.00 41.85 Chhotaguisury-I Construction of Primary Schools Bldg. at Kurbalovasora, Boraguisury, Maniabapsora-II, Vaseitlang-I. 3 90:10 6 27.70 Betbunya & Damdep-I (Class room -149.58 16.62 166.20 74.79 5, Staff Room -1 and toilets separate for Boys & Girls)



4	Construction of Addl. Class Rooms with separate toilet for Girls & Boys at Golasury P/S	90:10	1	7.00	6.30	0.70	7.00	3.15
5	Construction of Market Shed at Kamlanagar	80:20	1	60.00	48.00	12.00	60.00	14.40
<u> </u>	Total				299.73	39.97	339.70	140.27

17. (D). Sikkim

The project proposals of North Sikkim district were considered by the Empowered Committee in 79<sup>th</sup> Meeting. During the meeting project was construction of Weaving Dying center not approved for want of the detailed estimate of the project. Now we have received the DPR for the Reconstruction of Weaving Dying Center at Chunghtang block. The details of some more projects were discussed during meeting of Principal Secretary of the Department, Govt. of Sikkim with Director (PP). Accordingly, some more projects were put up for consideration of EC. These projects have been approved by SLC and locations have substantial minority population. Other commitments regarding substantial minority population at the location, non-duplication etc. have already been given by the State Govt. with its proposals for 79<sup>th</sup> meeting.

- 1. Construction of IAY houses:- It was explained by the Principal Secretary that there was large scale devastation caused by earthquake in North Sikkim district. A large number of houses were destroyed. The govt. of Sikkim is providing assistance to the villagers for constructing specially designed earthquake resistant house, which is costing more than 4.00 lakh. The State Govt. has proposed only 0.75 lakh for one house as per IAY norms. Extra cost will be borne by State Govt.
- 2. Re-construction of Weaving Dying Center at Chungthang:- We have received the DPR of Weaving Dying Center at the cost of Rs. 80 lakh. It will be 2 storied RCC Frame Structured with Floor, area of 1900 sq. ft. on each floor (Total 3800 sq. ft.). This center fulfills a much needed requirement of economic empowerment of the people belonging to the minority community living in a remote and high altitude of North Sikkim. It was explained that the center was total demolished during earthquake and now it is running in a rented house, staffs are in place. (Photocopy of abstract is enclosed).
- 3. Play Ground at Chungthang:- it was discussed by Principal Secretary that drug addiction is spreading among the youth of the State as a social problem. To divert the youths from this vice, it is necessary to construct play grounds.
- 4. The EC took the note of proposal related with Skill Development and requested the State Govt. to send a comprehensive proposal for Skill Development.
- 5. The EC decided to approve the following projects and also decided to release the 1<sup>st</sup> installment of 50% of the Central share for implementation of the projects so approved with usual terms and conditions:-



#### Mangan Block

Rs. in lakh

								T-CAL NOW RESIDENT		
S.No.	Name of Projects	Sharing ratio	Unit	Unit cost	Central share	State share	Total Cost	1 <sup>st</sup> instalment		
1	Construction of earthquake resistant houses	90:10	200	0.75	135.00*	15.00	150.00	<b>6</b> 7.50		
2	Reconstruction of School infrastructure of Swayem Tribel School (4 Addl. Class rooms+2hall+hostel hall+sanitation & kitchen)	90:10	1	72.00	64.80	7.20	72.00	32.40		
	Total				199.80	22.20	222.00	99.90		

<sup>\*</sup>Any amount required above this would be contributed by the State Govt.

#### **Chungthang Block**

Rs. in lakh

								Ten III residir	
S.No.	Name of Projects	Sharing ratio	Unit	Unit cost	Central share	State share	Total Cost	1 <sup>st</sup> instalment	
								Rs in lakh	
1	Construction of IAY	90:10	52	0.75	35.10*	3.90	39.00	17.55	
2	Re-construction of Weaving Dying center	100:00	1	80.00	80.00	0.00	80.00	40.00	
3	Extention of Play-ground & gallery at Ramom under Ship-Gyer	80:20	1	50.00	40.00	10.00	50.00	12.00	
	Total	<u></u>			155.10	13.90	169.00	69.55	

<sup>\*</sup>Any amount required above this would be contributed by the State Govt.

#### C. Uttarakhand

- 18. The proposal of the MCBs of Uttrakhand could not be discussed as no representative from the State and the District administration was available personally or on video at the time of discussion.
- 19. The meeting ended with a vote of thanks to the Chair.

\*\*\*\*

(Mary)